Review Article

Drug Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation Management: Where Do We Stand in 2010?

Gholamreza Davoodi, MD*, Mehdi Montazeri, MD

Tehran Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a commonly encountered arrhythmia in our daily practice. Every year a huge bulk of data is published about different management strategies, new antiarrhythmic drugs, anticoagulation protocols and ablation procedures in these patients. In this review article, we discuss different management strategies and new antiarrhythmic drugs as well as those commonly used. We will also have a brief look at anticoagulation in AF.

We try to introduce the most recent publications in this field and we think that this review article may not only give information about the current state of antiarrhythmic therapy of AF, it may also show some progresses that we may anticipate in the near future. New drugs are promising in the management of AF because of better safety profile and also acceptable efficacy. A comparison between the catheter ablation procedure and antiarrhythmic therapy is beyond the scope of this article.

J Teh Univ Heart Ctr 4 (2010) 172-178

Keywords: Atrial fibrillation • Anti-arrhythmia agents • Thromboembolism

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most prevalent sustained cardiac arrhythmia. The prevalence of AF increases with age¹⁻³ and it tends to occur more frequently in men; the reason for the latter is not clear yet.⁴

In addition to the structural heart disease, hypertension and obesity also play very important roles in the AF development. Alcohol consumption, fatty acid intake, inflammation, and oxidative stresses may predispose the development of AF.⁵ Approximately one third of patients have no evidence of cardiac involvement.⁶ AF is classified into first onset, paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent based on its pattern of evolution and response to treatment.⁷

The direct cost of AF on the National Health Service was 244-531 million Euros (0.6-1.2% of overall health care expenditure) in the UK in 1995.⁸

There are several management options for patients with

AF which are evolving rapidly. The aim of the present review article is to explain the current state of drug therapy as well as new drugs used in the AF management.

Pathogenesis

The development and maintenance of AF depend on several factors, amongst which atrial re-entry and sustained ectopic atrial activities are the most important.⁶

Cardiac diseases can initiate atrial remodeling and create an irreversible substrate, after which enhanced ectopy and re-entry are facilitated.⁹

Atrial fibrosis and structural remodeling are common findings in long-lasting AF;¹⁰ and when they happen, maintenance of sinus rhythm becomes more difficult.¹¹

Elevated levels of matrix metalloproteinase and longer duration of AF may contribute to difficulty in the conversion

^{*}Corresponding Author: Gholamreza Davoodi, Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran Heart Center, North Kargar Street, Tehran, Iran. 1411713138. Tel: +98 21 88029600. Fax: +98 21 88029731. Email: ghdavoodi@yahoo.com.

of the AF rhythm.¹²

AF Management

Although AF is a benign disease, it is associated with increased morbidity. Of great significance are heart failure and stroke.¹³ Indeed, the risk of stroke significantly increases in AF (23.3% life-time risk in patients between 80 and 89 years of age).¹⁴

AF management creates a high economic burden because of the concomitant presence of heart failure, coronary artery disease, hypertension, and the need for frequent hospitalizations. Expensive antiarrhythmic drugs and interventional procedures are other important factors that raise the costs of AF care.¹⁵

Four major aspects should be considered in the AF management: I- Symptom control by slowing ventricular response during paroxysmal or persistent AF and long-term rate control in permanent AF, II- Cardioversion to sinus rhythm, III- Maintenance of sinus rhythm after successful cardioversion, and IV- Prevention of complications and thromboembolic events.¹⁵ The first three objects are related but anticoagulation is a different aspect in the AF management.¹⁶

In cases where there is a treatable cause for AF (endocrine disease, inflammation, cardiac surgery, etc.), there may be no need for the long-term management of AF except when treating the underling condition.¹⁶

Monotherapy with digoxin maybe a good option for old patients and ventricular rate response is an acceptable index for the evaluation of response to therapy.¹⁷ Be that as it may, digoxin is not an ideal drug for this purpose because it works well in lowering ventricular response at rest but with less effect during exertion. Adding a beta blocker or calcium antagonist may be useful, but in older patients it should be done cautiously.¹⁷

Rhythm versus Rate Control

In order to prevent the complications and symptoms of AF, two main strategies exist: I - Rhythm control: converting the patient's rhythm to sinus and maintaining the sinus rhythm, and II - Rate control: slowing the ventricular response rate without insisting on conversion to sinus rhythm.¹⁸

From a theoretical point of view, converting AF into sinus rhythm is the best option.⁶ Nonetheless; the most important trials reported in the existing literature thus far have mentioned no significant difference in terms of quality of life and other outcomes between the two strategies. It seems that the side effects of antiarrhythmic agents (pro-arrhythmia) in the long term, poor efficacy of drugs in the maintenance of sinus rhythm, and inappropriate discontinuation of anticoagulants in the patients who still have AF episodes can interfere with good results in the rhythm-control arm.¹⁶ Therefore, many experts believe that rhythm control with safe antiarrhythmic drugs or catheter ablation will play an important role in the AF management.

Antiarrhythmic agents from class IA, IC, and III can be effective; and by using less toxic drugs, the risk of tachycardiamediated cardiomyopathy can also be lowered.^{19, 20}

The main agents for slowing ventricular response in AF are beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, and digoxin.¹⁷ In the AFFIRM study, there was no survival difference between rate-control and rhythm-control strategies. In addition, the lower risk of adverse drug effects in the rate-control arm conferred some advantages in this arm. A post-hoc analysis of the AFFIRM data proved that there was no significant benefit in the rhythm-control group versus the rate-control group in patients with AF and left ventricular dysfunction.²¹

The RACE study showed that for the prevention of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in AF, rhythm control was not superior to rate control.²² A later analysis of the RACE data showed that the rhythm-control strategy in AF patients with hypertension was associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality; accordingly, the rate-control strategy was deemed superior.²³

In the PIAF trial, clinical outcomes were similar between the rate-control group and the rhythm-control group but exercise tolerance was better in the rhythm-control arm.²⁴

The STAF study showed no difference between the two main strategies of the AF management in all end-points except for hospitalization.²⁵

The HOT CAFÉ study demonstrated that rate control was similar to rhythm control with respect to AF mortality and morbidity such as stroke, thromboembolic events, and bleeding.²⁶

Guedon-Moreau et al. posited that the management of paroxysmal AF with flecainide was associated with improved quality of life.²⁷

Serial cardioversion in heart failure patients is correlated with poor outcome compared with normal left ventricular ejection fraction patients.²⁸

The rhythm-control strategy is more popular than the rate-control strategy worldwide. Still, it seems that in patients with recurrent AF after cardioversion, slowing the ventricular response may be the choice and first step. Those with long-standing AF or previous failure of antiarrhythmic drugs should be selected for the rate-control strategy.²⁹

Antiarrhythmic Drugs

Class III antiarrhythmic agents have an important role as a part of cardioversion strategy and maintaining sinus rhythm. Amiodarone, which is the hallmark drug in this group, is a relatively safe and effective drug but frequent adverse effects have been reported with its long-term use.³⁰

It is the most frequently used antiarrhythmic drug for AF treatment in that it prolongs the repolarization in the atria and ventricles.³¹ the elimination half-life of amiodarone is 30-55 days.³² It may give rise to thyroid dysfunction, pulmonary fibrosis, dermatological changes, and ophthalmic involvement.³¹

Roy et al. posited that low-dose amiodarone (< 600 mg daily) was more effective than sotalol or propanofenone for the prevention of AF. In their study, the recurrence rate of AF in a 16-month follow-up period was 35% in the amiodarone group versus 63% in the other group.³³

Some other studies have also shown similar success rates (50-70%) in achieving and maintaining sinus rhythm for amiodarone.^{34, 35}

Cardioversion rate for class IC and III drugs is approximately 60-80% in AF episodes lasting less than 48 hours.¹³

Dronedarone is a benzofuran-derivate of amiodarone with the same electropharmacological profile³⁶ but without side effects on the pulmonary system.³⁷ It has a shorter half-life than amiodarone (1 - 2 days).³² By blocking multiple channels and having some antiadrenergic properties, it prolongs action potential duration and, therefore, reduces the heart rate. Additionally, it has low risk of Torsade de pointes.⁶

The EURIDIS and ADONIS trials showed that 400mg dronedarone orally, twice daily, was more effective than placebo in slowing the ventricular response and maintaining sinus rhythm in AF patients.³⁸

The ANDROMEDA study was terminated prematurely because of increased mortality due to the worsening of heart failure in the dronedarone group.³⁹ Therefore, dronedarone is contraindicated in patients with moderate to severe heart failure.⁶

The ATHENA trial supported the idea that dronedarone could reduce both primary and secondary end-points. The median time to the recurrence of AF was prolonged with this drug.⁴⁰

Droned arone can reduce the rate of death or hospitalization in AF patients. $^{\rm 40}$

Data from the DIONYSOS trial suggested higher tolerability for dronedarone than that for amiodarone but less efficacy.⁶

Budiodarone (ATI-2042) is another analogue of amiodarone. Its half-life is 7 hours and is administrated orally up to 1200 mg daily. Even in low doses, it can be effective in the reduction of AF episodes and the duration of the mean episode.⁴¹ This drug is undergoing phase II trials.⁴²

Celivarone is another analogue of amiodarone and is still under investigation. It can be consumed both by oral and intravenous (IV) routes and can act similarly to all categories of antiarrhythmic agents. It is effective in the management of hypokalemic and vagotonic AF. In experimental animal models, it was at least as potent as amiodarone and dronedarone.⁴³ Ibutilide is a class III antiarrhythmic agent that can convert AF to sinus rhythm more rapidly than can procainamide or sotalol. Its dosage is 0.008 mg/kg over 10 minutes. It has been shown that ibutilide has no significant advantage compared with amiodarone for the conversion of AF but severe hypotension was not seen with ibutilide.⁴⁴

For acute AF, conversion to sinus rhythm with ibutilide is about 59%, but there is 1.7% risk of polymorphic ventricular tachycardia with this drug. As a result, it is advised to keep the patients receiving ibutilide under monitoring for at least 24 hours after the infusion of this drug.⁴⁵

Dofetilide is another class III antiarrhythmic drug that can be used for maintaining sinus rhythm in congestive heart failure patients with AF. The DIAMOND CHF trial showed that it could reduce hospitalization due to heart failure. Heart failure worsening was reduced by 25%.⁴⁶

Dofetilide is known to be more effective in patients with persistent AF compared with those with paroxysmal AF, and significant proarrhythmic adverse effects can occur even with close monitoring.⁴⁷

Ranolazine is an antianginal agent that can block several ion channels and consequently increase the QT interval modestly (2 - 6 millisecond). This agent has higher affinity for sodium channels in the atria than in the ventricles. Phase III human trial is planned for this agent.⁴⁸

Azimilide is also a class III antiarrhythmic drug with the dosage of 100 - 125 mg daily orally and a half-life of 4 days. A trial demonstrated that azimilide was a safe and effective drug for the management of AF in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction.⁴⁹

Tedisamil is another drug from class III family. It can prolong action potential in the atria more than ventricles and its half-life is 8 - 13 hours. It has a rapid onset of action and can convert AF to sinus rhythm in the majority of patients by 30 - 40 minutes fallowing an IV injection (0.4 - 0.6 mg/ kg).⁵⁰

Impaired conduction between myocytes is associated with increased risk of AF, and this effect is more prominent in patients with heart failure and mitral insufficiency. Rotigoptide can improve cell-to-cell conduction by the augmentation of the gap junction conductance. In an animal model, rotigoptide was effective in the management of AF associated with mitral regurgitation or ischemia but was not effective in the presence of heart failure or atrial enlargement.⁵¹

Introduction of drugs with selective affinity for channels that specifically contribute in atrial repolarization is an interesting aspect in the AF management. These drugs are called atrial repolarization delaying agents.⁴²

By the application of these agents, the proarrhythmic effect of traditional drugs can be minimized.⁴²

Inhibition of ultra rapid delayed rectifier potassium current (Ikur) is an example for atrium-selective approach because this channel is located exclusively in the atria.⁵²

Sodium channel characteristics are different between the atrium and ventricle, and selective sodium channel blocking is another specific strategy for the management of AF.⁵³

Vernakalant is an atrial-selective agent that can block sodium and potassium channels in the atrium and has little effect on the other channels.⁵⁴ Vernakalant prolongs the atrial-effective refractory period.⁵⁵

Roy et al. showed that an IV administration of RSD1235 (Vernakalant) was effective in the termination of recent onset AF (50-60% vs 4 - 5% compared with placebo).^{56, 57} The drug is infused at 2.25 - 4.5 mg/kg in 35 minutes.⁵⁶

This drug has a small effect on sinus node recovery time (SNRT), atrioventricular node (AVN) refractoriness, and intraventricular conduction.⁵⁵

Phase II trials have shown that oral vernakalant 300 - 600 mg twice daily can effectively maintain sinus rhythm compared with placebo, and earlier studies demonstrated that this drug was very effective for converting new AF to sinus rhythm.⁵⁸

Sneezing, nausea, dysgeusia, paresthesia, and hypotension are amongst the adverse effects that can be seen with vernakalant. Sinus arrest, atrioventricular dissociation, and ventricular fibrillation are the other effects that have been reported.⁵⁸

AZD7009 is another agent that can block delayed rectifier potassium current (Ikr), sodium current (INa), and ultra rapid rectifier delayed potassium current (Ikur).⁵⁹

In animal studies, it has been shown that this drug has a predominant atrial electrophysiological effect.⁶⁰

It is a highly effective agent in terminating AF/AFL and maintaining sinus rhythm in animal models.⁵⁹

AZD7009 can increase the atrial-effective refractory period more in the dilated atrium rather than the normalsized atrium (probably due to INa inhibition). Therefore, it is effective in converting persistent AF to sinus rhythm.⁶¹

Nifekalant is an Ikr blocker that is used for the acute treatment of refractory ventricular tachycardia. It can prolong the atrial refractory period and can terminate atrial flutter in 75% of patients within one hour but it is not a suitable agent for converting AF to sinus rhythm.⁶²

As was mentioned before, atrial fibrosis has a central role in pathological changes contributed to AF.⁶³

Upstream therapy can interfere with this phenomenon. Renin angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors, statins, and omega-3 fatty acids are the drugs used to prevent atrial fibrosis.⁶

Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) may be effective in AF prevention in patients with hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, and congestive heart failure, as well as in postmyocardial infarction patients with depressed left ventricular function.⁴²

Kalus et al. demonstrated that the use of ARBs and ACEIs for at least three months before cardiothoracic surgery could

decrease the incidence of post-operative AF.64

Serotonin (5HT4) receptor blockers (RS - 100302, SB - 207266, and CVT - 150) have no ventricular side effect and can be promising agents in the AF management because the infusion of serotonin can cause AF.⁴²

Atrial Fibrillation and Thromboembolism

Atrial fibrillation can predispose clot formation in the left atrium and consequently ischemic stroke and extra cranial thromboembolism.^{65, 66}

If AF persists for two days, left atrium thrombosis could be seen in 5 - 14% of patients.⁶⁷ It might, subsequently, become fragmented and embolize to the peripheral atrial system.⁶⁸

Most thromboembolic events are manifested in the brain, but other organs can also be affected and contribute to mortality and morbidity. The annual incidence rates of ischemic stroke, acute mesenteric ischemia, and acute limb ischemia are 2.3% (lethality 30%), 0.14 (lethality 70%), and 0.4% (lethality 16%), respectively. Thromboembolic events can be reduced by warfarin and aspirin in guideline-adherent antithrombotic therapy. If thromboembolic events occur, restoring perfusion and limiting the tissue ischemia are the treatment of choice. The major goal is, however, preventing these events. In patients with valvular heart disease or high-risk individuals (according to the CHADS₂ or CHA₂DS₂VAS_c scoring), warfarin is the drug of choice. In low-risk conditions, aspirin can be used.⁶⁹

Warfarin needs frequent dose adjustment because it has multiple interactions with food and drugs, and it requires frequent laboratory monitoring. Therefore, the rates of discontinuation are high. That is why many patients may receive inadequate anticoagulation.

Dabigatran is a new, potent, direct and competitive inhibitor of thrombin. Its half-life is 12 to 17 hours, and it does not require regular monitoring. Connolly et al. demonstrated that AF patients receiving Dabigatran 110 mg twice daily had similar rates of stroke and systemic embolism compared with those using warfarin, but with lower rates of major bleeding. At a dose of 150 mg twice daily, the rate of stroke and systemic embolism is lower but the rate of major bleeding is similar to warfarin.⁷⁰

Conclusion

In conjunction with catheter ablation, which is a rapidly growing modality in the AF management, drug therapy of AF still has a pivotal role and is subject to striking changes and new developments. Our new concepts about the mechanisms of AF and its triggers, substrates, atrial remodeling, and related ionic channels have led investigators towards newly developed drugs which may probably be more effective and with fewer side effects. Atrial selective antiarrhythmic drugs, new class III antiarrhythmics, and new anticoagulants are most mentionable amongst these drugs.

References

- Go AS, Hylek EM, Phillips KA, Chang Y, Henault LE, Selby JV, Singer DE. Prevalence of diagnosed atrial fibrillation in adults: national implications for rhythm management and stroke prevention: the anticoagulation and risk factors in atrial fibrillation (ATRIA) study. JAMA 2001;285:2370-2375.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Wang TJ, Leip EP, Larson MG, Levy D, Vasan RS, D>Agostino RB, Massaro JM, Beiser A, Wolf PA, Benjamin EJ. Lifetime risk for development of atrial fibrillation: the Framingham heart study. Portugueseirculation 2004;110:1042-1046.
- Miyasaka Y, Barnes ME, Gersh BJ, Cha SS, Bailey KR, Abhayaratna WP, Seward JB, Tsang TS. Secular trends in incidence of atrial fibrillation in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1980 to 2000, and implications on the projections for future prevalence. Circulation 2006;114:119-125.
- Benjamin EJ, Levy D, Vaziri SM, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Wolf PA. Independent risk factors for atrial fibrillation in a population-based cohort. The Framingham heart study. JAMA 1994;271:840-844.
- Conen D, Osswald S, Albert CM. Epidemiology of atrial fibrillation. Swiss Med Wkly 2009;139:346-352.
- Dobrev D, Nattel S. New antiarrhythmic drugs for treatment of atrial fibrillation. Lancet 2010;375:1212-1223.
- Bajpai A, Rowland E. Atrial fibrillation. CEACCP 2006;6:219-224.
- Stewart S, Murphy NF, Walker A, McGuire A, McMurray JJ. Cost of an emerging epidemic: an economic analysis of atrial fibrillation in the UK. Heart 2004;90:286-292.
- Nattel S, Burstein B, Dobrev D. Atrial remodeling and atrial fibrillation: mechanisms and implications. Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2008;1:62-73.
- Tanaka K, Zlochiver S, Vikstrom KL, Yamazaki M, Moreno J, Klos M, Zaitsev AV, Vaidyanathan R, Auerbach DS, Landas S, Guiraudon G, Jalife J, Berenfeld O, Kalifa J. Spatial distribution of fibrosis governs fibrillation wave dynamics in the posterior left atrium during heart failure. Circ Res 2007;101:839-847.
- Duytschaever M, Blaauw Y, Allessie M. Consequences of atrial electrical remodeling for the anti-arrhythmic action of class IC and class III drugs. Cardiovasc Res 2005;67:69-76.
- Kato K, Fujimaki T, Yoshida T, Oguri M, Yajima K, Hibino T, Murohara T. Impact of matrix metalloproteinase-2 levels on longterm outcome following pharmacological or electrical cardioversion in patients with atrial fibrillation. Europace 2009;11:332-337.
- Wyse DG, Waldo AL, DiMarco JP, Domanski MJ, Rosenberg Y, Schron EB, Kellen JC, Greene HL, Mickel MC, Dalquist JE, Corley SD; Atrial fibrillation follow-up investigation of rhythm management (AFFIRM) investigators. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1825-1833.
- Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham study. Stroke 1991;22:983-988.
- Bajpai A, Savelieva I, Camm AJ. Treatment of atrial fibrillation. Br Med Bull 2008;88:75-94.
- Fuster V, Rydén LE, Cannom DS, Crijns HJ, Curtis AB, Ellenbogen KA, Halperin JL, Heuzey JYL, Kay GN, Lowe JE, Olsson SB, Prystowsky EN, Tamargo JL, Wann S. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation-Executive Summary. JACC 2006;48:854-906.
- 17. Segal OR. Rate control for atrial fibrillation: one drug or two? Postgrad 2009;85:281-282.

- 18. Roy D, Talajic M, Nattel S, Wyse DG, Dorian P, Lee KL, Bourassa MG, Arnold JM, Buxton AE, Camm AJ, Connolly SJ, Dubuc M, Ducharme A, Guerra PG, Hohnloser SH, Lambert J, Le Heuzey JY, O'Hara G, Pedersen OD, Rouleau JL, Singh BN, Stevenson LW, Stevenson WG, Thibault B, Waldo AL; Atrial fibrillation and congestive heart failure investigators. Rhythm control versus rate control for atrial fibrillation and heart failure. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2667-2677.
- Lafuente-Lafuente C, Mouly S, Longás-Tejero MA, Mahé I, Bergmann JF. Antiarrhythmic drugs for maintaining sinus rhythm after cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:719-728.
- 20. Betts TR. Is rate more important than rhythm in treating atrial fibrillation? Yes. BMJ 2009;339:b3173.
- Freudenberger RS, Wilson AC, Kostis JB; AFFIRM investigators and committees. Comparison of rate versus rhythm control for atrial fibrillation in patients with left ventricular dysfunction (from the AFFIRM study). Am J Cardiol 2007;100:247-252.
- 22. Van Gelder IC, Hagens VE, Bosker HA, Kingma JH, Kamp O, Kingma T, Said SA, Darmanata JI, Timmermans AJ, Tijssen JG, Crijns HJ; Rate control versus electrical cardioversion for persistent atrial fibrillation study group. A comparison of rate control and rhythm control in patients with recurrent persistent atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1834-1840.
- Rienstra M, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Crijns HJ, Van Gelder IC; RACE investigators. Enhanced cardiovascular morbidity and mortality during rhythm control treatment in persistent atrial fibrillation in hypertensives: data of the RACE study. Eur Heart J 2007;28:741-751.
- 24. Hohnloser SH, Kuck KH, Lilienthal J. Rhythm or rate control in atrial fibrillation-pharmacological intervention in atrial fibrillation (PIAF): a randomized trial. Lancet 2000;356:1789-1794.
- 25. Carlsson J, Miketic S, Windeler J, Cuneo A, Haun S, Micus S, Walter S, Tebbe U; STAF investigators. Randomized trial of ratecontrol versus rhythm-control in persistent atrial fibrillation: the strategies of treatment of atrial fibrillation (STAF) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1690-1696.
- 26. Opolski G, Torbicki A, Kosior DA, Szulc M, Wozakowska-Kaplon B, Kolodziej P, Achremczyk P; Investigators of the Polish how to treat chronic atrial fibrillation study. Rate control vs rhythm control in patients with nonvalvular persistent atrial fibrillation: the results of the Polish how to treat chronic atrial fibrillation (HOT CAFE) study. Chest 2004;126:476-486.
- Guédon-Moreau L, Capucci A, Denjoy I, Morgan CC, Périer A, Leplège A, Kacet S. Impact of the control of symptomatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation on health-related quality of life. Europace 2010;12:634-642.
- Hemels ME, Wiesfeld AC, Van Veldhuisen DJ, Van den Berg MP, Van Gelder IC. Outcome of pharmacological rhythm control for new-onset persistent atrial fibrillation in patients with systolic heart failure: a comparison with patients with normal left ventricular function. Europace 2007;9:239-245.
- Curtis AB, Seals AA, Safford RE, Slater W, Tullo NG, Vidaillet H, Wilber DJ, Slee A. Clinical factors associated with abandonment of a rate-control or a rhythm-control strategy for the management of atrial fibrillation in the AFFIRM study. Am Heart J 2005;149:304-308.
- Doyle JF, Ho KM. Benefits and risks of long-term amiodarone therapy for persistent atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc 2009;84:234-342.
- Fitzgerald JD. Trials of discovery. Class III antiarrhythmic agents: serendipity or drug design? Dialog Cardiovasc Med 2004;9:243-252.
- Touboul P, Brugada J, Capucci A, Crijns HJ, Edvardsson N, Hohnloser SH. Dronedarone for prevention of atrial fibrillation: a dose ranging study. Eur Heart J 2003;24:1481-1487.
- 33. Roy D, Talajic M, Dorian P, Connolly S, Eisenberg MJ, Green M, Kus T, Lambert J, Dubuc M, Gagné P, Nattel S, Thibault B. Amiodarone to prevent recurrence of atrial fibrillation. Canadian

trial of a trial fibrillation investigators. N Engl J Med 2000;342:913-920.

- Kochiadakis GE, Igoumenidis NE, Marketou ME, Solomou MC, Kanoupakis EM, Vardas PE. Low-dose amiodarone versus sotalol for suppression of recurrent symptomatic atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 1998;81:995-998.
- Chun SH, Sager PT, Stevenson WG, Nademanee K, Middlekauff HR, Singh BN. Long-term efficacy of amiodarone for the maintenance of normal sinus rhythm in patients with refractory atrial fibrillation or flutter. Am J Cardiol 1995;76:47-50.
- Manning AS, Bruyninckx C, Ramboux J, Chatelain P. SR 33589, a new amiodaronelike agent: effect on ischemia- and reperfusioninduced arrhythmias in anesthetized rats. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1995;26:453-461.
- Idem. Chronic and acute effects of dronedarone on the action potential of rabbit atrial muscle preparations: comparison with amiodarone. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2002;39:677-684.
- Singh BN, Connolly SJ, Crijns HJ, Roy D, Kowey PR, Capucci A, Radzik D, Aliot EM, Hohnloser SH; EURIDIS and ADONIS investigators. Dronedarone for maintenance of sinus rhythm in atrial fibrillation or flutter. N Engl J Med 2007;357:987-999.
- Køber L, Torp-Pedersen C, McMurray JJ, Gøtzsche O, Lévy S, Crijns H, Amlie J, Carlsen J; Dronedarone study group. Increased mortality after dronedarone therapy for severe heart failure. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2678-2687.
- Hohnloser SH, Crijns HJ, van Eickels M, Gaudin C, Page RL, Torp-Pedersen C, Connolly SJ; ATHENA investigators. Effect of dronedarone on cardiovascular events in atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009;360:668-678.
- 41. Arya A, Silberbauer J, Teichman SL, Milner P, Sulke N, Camm AJ. A preliminary assessment of the effects of ATI-2042 in subjects with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation using implanted pacemaker methodology. Europace 2009;11:458-464.
- 42. Singh J, Braich JS. Recent advances in pharmacotherapy of atrial fibrillation. Indian J Pharmacol 2009;41:153-157.
- 43. Gautier P, Serre M, Cosnier-Pucheu S, Djandjighian L, Roccon A, Herbert JM, Nisato D. In vivo and in vitro antiarrhythmic effects of SSR149744C in animal models of atrial fibrillation and ventricular arrhythmias. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2005;45:125-135.
- Bernard EO, Schmid ER, Schmidlin D, Scharf C, Candinas R, Germann R. Ibutilide versus amiodarone in atrial fibrillation: a double-blinded, randomized study. Crit Care Med 2003;31:1031-1034.
- Giudici MC, Fischer WJ 3rd, Cervantes DC, Schrumpf PE, Paul DL, Sentman KE, Serra VL, Barold SS. Ibutilide therapy for atrial fibrillation: 5-Year experience in a community hospital. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2008;23:484-488.
- 46. Torp-Pedersen C, Moller M, Kober L, Camm AJ. Dofetilide for the treatment of atrial fibrillation in patients with congestive heart failure. Eur Heart J 2000;21:1204-1206.
- 47. Banchs JE, Wolbrette DL, Samii SM, Penny-Peterson ED, Patel PP, Young SK, Gonzalez MD, Naccarelli GV. Efficacy and safety of dofetilide in patients with atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2008;23:111-115.
- 48. Burashnikov A, Di Diego JM, Zygmunt AC, Belardinelli L, Antzelevitch C. Atrium-selective sodium channel block as a strategy for suppression of atrial fibrillation: differences in sodium channel inactivation between atria and ventricles and the role of ranolazine. Circulation 2007;116:1449-1457.
- 49. Pratt CM, Singh SN, Al-Khalidi HR, Brum JM, Holroyde MJ, Marcello SR, Schwartz PJ, Camm AJ; ALIVE investigators. The efficacy of azimilide in the treatment of atrial fibrillation in the presence of left ventricular systolic dysfunction results from the azimilide postinfarct survival evaluation (ALIVE) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:1211-1216.
- Hohnloser SH, Dorian P, Straub M, Beckmann K, Kowey P. Safety and efficacy of intravenously administered tedisamil for rapid conversion of recent-onset atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:99-104.

- Guerra JM, Everett TH 4th, Lee KW, Wilson E, Olgin JE. Effects of the gap junction modifier rotigaptide (ZP123) on atrial conduction and vulnerability to atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2006;114:110-118.
- 52. Nattel S, Matthews C, De Blasio E, Han W, Li D, Yue L. Dosedependence of 4-aminopyridine plasma concentrations and electrophysiological effects in dogs: potential relevance to ionic mechanisms in vivo. Circulation 2000;101:1179-1184.
- 53. Burashnikov A, Di Diego JM, Zygmunt AC, Belardinelli L, Antzelevitch C. Atrium-selective sodium channel block as a strategy for suppression of atrial fibrillation: differences in sodium channel inactivation between atria and ventricles and the role of ranolazine. Circulation 2007;116:1449-1457.
- Fedida D, Orth PM, Chen JY, Lin S, Plouvier B, Jung G, Ezrin AM, Beatch GN. The mechanism of atrial antiarrhythmic action of RSD1235. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2005;16:1227-1238.
- Dorian P, Pinter A, Mangat I, Korley V, Cvitkovic SS, Beatch GN. The effect of vernakalant (RSD1235), an investigational antiarrhythmic agent, on atrial electrophysiology in humans. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2007;50:35-40.
- 56. Roy D, Rowe BH, Stiell IG, Coutu B, Ip JH, Phaneuf D, Lee J, Vidaillet H, Dickinson G, Grant S, Ezrin AM, Beatch GN; CRAFT investigators. A randomized, controlled trial of RSD1235, a novel anti-arrhythmic agent, in the treatment of recent onset atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44:2355-2361.
- 57. Roy D, Pratt CM, Torp-Pedersen C, Wyse DG, Toft E, Juul-Moller S, Nielsen T, Rasmussen SL, Stiell IG, Coutu B, Ip JH, Pritchett EL, Camm AJ; Atrial arrhythmia conversion trial investigators. RSD1235 for conversion of atrial fibrillation. The phase III atrial arrhythmia conversion trial. Circulation 2008;117:1518-1525.
- 58. Cheng JW. Vernakalant in the management of atrial fibrillation. Ann Pharmacother 2008;42:533-542.
- 59. Goldstein RN, Khrestian C, Carlsson L, Waldo AL. AZD7009: A new antiarrhythmic drug with predominant effects on the atria effectively terminates and prevents reinduction of atrial fibrillation and flutter in the sterile pericarditis model. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2004;15:1444-1450.
- Duker G, Bj"orkman JA, Linhardt G, Carlsson L: AZD7009: A new antifibrillatory compound that markedly delays atrial refractoriness in the anesthetised dog. Heart Rhythm 2004;1:S159.
- Crijns HJ, Van Gelder IC, Walfridsson H, Kulakowski P, Rónaszéki A, Dedek V, Malm A, Almgren O. Safe and effective conversion of persistent atrial fibrillation to sinus rhythm by intravenous AZD7009. Heart Rhythm 2006;3:1321-1331.
- 62. Morita N, Tanaka K, Yodogawa K, Hayashi M, Akutsu K, Yamamoto T, Satoh N, Kobayashi Y, Katoh T, Takano T. Effect of nifekalant for acute conversion of atrial flutter: the possible termination mechanism of typical atrial flutter. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2007;30:1242-1253.
- 63. Benjamin EJ, Chen PS, Bild DE, Mascette AM, Albert CM, Alonso A, Calkins H, Connolly SJ, Curtis AB, Darbar D, Ellinor PT, Go AS, Goldschlager NF, Heckbert SR, Jalife J, Kerr CR, Levy D, Lloyd-Jones DM, Massie BM, Nattel S, Olgin JE, Packer DL, Po SS, Tsang TS, Van Wagoner DR, Waldo AL, Wyse DG. Prevention of atrial fibrillation: report from a national heart, lung, and blood institute workshop. Circulation 2009;119:606-618.
- 64. Kalus JS. Angiotensin inhibitors prevent atrial fibrillation after cardiothoracic surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:A15.
- 65. Goldstein LB, Adams R, Alberts MJ, Appel LJ, Brass LM, Bushnell CD, Culebras A, Degraba TJ, Gorelick PB, Guyton JR, Hart RG, Howard G, Kelly-Hayes M, Nixon JV, Sacco RL; American heart association/American stroke association stroke council; Atherosclerotic peripheral vascular disease interdisciplinary working group; Cardiovascular nursing Council; clinical cardiology council; Nutrition, physical activity, and metabolism council; Quality of care and outcomes research interdisciplinary working group; American academy of neurology. Primary prevention of ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American heart association/American stroke association for the primery and the analysis of the primery by the stroke association of the primery by the pri

Atherosclerotic peripheral vascular disease interdisciplinary working group; Cardiovascular nursing Council; clinical cardiology council; Nutrition, physical activity, and metabolism council; and the Quality of care and outcomes research interdisciplinary working group; the American academy of neurology affirms the value of this guideline. Stroke 2006;37:1583-1633.

- 66. Nieuwlaat R, Prins MH, Le Heuzey JY, Vardas PE, Aliot E, Santini M, Cobbe SM, Widdershoven JW, Baur LH, Levy S, Crijns HJ. Prognosis, disease progression, and treatment of atrial fibrillation patients during 1 year: follow-up of the Euro heart survey on atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2008;29:1181-1189.
- 67. Thambidorai SK, Murray RD, Parakh K, Shah TK, Black IW, Jasper SE, Li J, Apperson-Hansen C, Asher CR, Grimm RA, Klein AL; ACUTE investigators. Utility of transesophageal echocardiography in identification of thrombogenic milieu in patients with atrial fibrillation (an ACUTE ancillary study). Am J Cardiol 2005;96:935-941.
- Kavlak ES, Kucukoglu H, Yigit Z, Okcun B, Baran T, Ozkan AA, Kucukoglu S. Clinical and echocardiographic risk factors for embolization in the presence of left atrial thrombus. Echocardiography 2007;24:515-521.
- 69. Menke J, Lüthje L, Kastrup A, Larsen J. Thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2010;105:502-510.
- Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J, Parekh A, Pogue J, Reilly PA, Themeles E, Varrone J, Wang S, Alings M, Xavier D, Zhu J, Diaz R, Lewis BS, Darius H, Diener HC, Joyner CD, Wallentin L; RE-LY steering committee and investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1139-1151.