Editorial

Intracardiac Echocardiography in Contemporary Electro-Physiology: The Imaging Engine Behind the Fluoroless ERA

Hany Demo [1], Mansour Razminia [2]

[1] Swedish Covenant Hospital, Chicago, USA.
[2] Northwestern medicine, Palos Hospital.

Citation: Demo H, Razminia M. Intracardiac Echocardiography in Contemporary Electro-Physiology: The Imaging Engine Behind the Flourless ERA. Res Heart Yield Transl Med 2025; 20(4):255-258.

https://doi.org/10.18502/jthc.v20i4.20741

Editorial

Intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) has evolved from an adjunct procedure to a major imaging component of contemporary electrophysiology (EP) and is transforming the assessment of complex ablation and device cases.[1-4] This growth corresponds to an inflection point for EP practice in response to converging pressures: an interest in improving safety and efficiency in EP procedures, an ever-increasing trend toward minimal or zero fluoroscopy procedures, and a commensurate development of catheter technology and image integration.[1-4] ICE specifically meets all of these demands by providing high-resolution intracardiac images through conscious sedation techniques and decreasing ionizing radiation exposure.[2,4,6]

From Niche Tool to EP Staple

Originally used as an alternative to transthoracic (TTE) and transesophageal echocardiograms (TEE) performed during invasive procedures, ICE procedures are now incorporated into standard EP studies, especially for complex ablations within the left atrial as well as ventricular chambers.[2,4]

By providing real-time intracardiac imaging without esophageal instrumentation, ICE procedures have improved patient tolerance and can often avoid the need for general anesthesia and the resources of an anesthesiologist.[2,4,8]

Additionally, ICE transcends only anatomical description by being a procedural guide for navigating and maintaining safety during interventions. It helps guide transseptal puncture and placement of the catheter, manipulation of the sheath, and lesion delivery while giving the operator continuous access to all critical structures throughout the procedure.[1-3] Thus, ICE technology has led to significantly reduced fluoroscopy times and the possibility of completing interventions without using fluoroscopy in several institutions.[1,2,5,6]

Ice as the Foundation of Fluoroless Electrophysiology

The ICE combined with three-dimensional electro-anatomical mapping (EAM) technology has revolutionized EP procedures. The ICE system allows direct visualization of venous access, transseptal puncture, and instrumentation of the LA independent of fluoroscopy.[1-3] The initial pilot studies demonstrated the safety of zero-fluoroscopy procedures for supraventricular tachycardia and Atrial fibrillation (AF), whereas larger studies in a more diverse cohort have confirmed these findings.[1,2,5]

There has been an increasing trend of systematic analyses, meta-analyses, and large-scale observational studies published after 2020, proving that fluoroless ablation procedures guided by ICE achieve similar results to those of traditional fluoroscopic procedures, while reducing radiation exposure for patients and operators.[5,6] This heralds the transition from proof-of-concept to the practical application of the technology.

Core Roles in Modern EP Ablation

ICE is now routinely used across the spectrum of supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmia ablation. In AF ablation, integration of ICE with EAM allows accurate reconstruction of left atrial and pulmonary vein anatomy, improved catheter–tissue contact assessment, and enhanced lesion delivery during pulmonary vein isolation.[3,4,12] Emerging data suggest that ICE-guided AF ablation may reduce total procedure and radiofrequency delivery times while improving lesion quality and procedural efficiency.[12]

In typical atrial flutter ablation, ICE facilitates detailed visualization of cavotricuspid isthmus anatomy, detection of anatomic variants, and confirmation of bidirectional block.[4] In complex ventricular tachycardia ablation, ICE delineates ventricular geometry, papillary muscles, aneurysmal segments, and scar-related structures, while enabling early detection of pericardial effusion or thrombus.[4,7] Across arrhythmia types, ICE consistently improves anatomic precision, catheter stability, and operator confidence.[2-4]

Ice in Pulsed-Field Ablation

The rapid adoption of pulsed-field ablation (PFA) has further underscored the importance of ICE. Although PFA offers myocardial selectivity and a favorable safety profile compared with thermal energy, effective lesion formation remains dependent on adequate catheter–tissue contact and orientation.[3,4] ICE enables continuous, real-time assessment of catheter apposition, particularly along the posterior left atrial wall and pulmonary vein antra where fluoroscopic cues are limited.[4]

ICE-guided PFA facilitates confirmation of contact prior to energy delivery, detection of catheter instability during pulse application, and early recognition of complications such as pericardial effusion.[3,4] As PFA technologies evolve, ICE is increasingly viewed as a critical companion imaging modality rather than an optional adjunct.

Leadless Pacemaker Implantation and Device Procedures

Leadless pacemaker systems have expanded device therapy options, particularly for patients with limited venous access or high infection risk. This capability is especially important as leadless technology advances toward atrioventricular synchrony and dual-chamber systems. ICE plays a key role during leadless pacemaker implantation by enabling real-time visualization of right atrial appendage anatomy, tissue characteristics, and engagement.[6]

ICE-assisted implantation decreases reliance on fluoroscopy and contrast use, enables early detection of pericardial effusion or device instability, and makes implantation easier in patients with complex or surgically altered anatomy.[6]

Ice in Lead Extraction and High-Risk Procedures

Transvenous lead extraction procedures continue to be among the highest risk procedures in the field of EP. ICE serves as a constant source of intra-procedural guidance monitoring the presence of pericardial effusion, vascular damage, intracardiac thrombus, as well as retained lead fragments.[7] This direct visualization capability, which can detect complications prior to the onset of hemodynamic compromise, has improved safety standards for these procedures.[7]

Ice in Left Atrial Appendage Closure and Combined Ablation-Occlusion Procedures

ICE has become more relevant in left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO), especially as there has been a shift from TEE and general anesthesia. ICE allows visualization of the interatrial septum, left atrium, as well as the left atrial appendage in real time, which provides safety in transseptal access as well as accurate device sizing, positioning, device stability, evaluation of compression, and peri-device leak.[8,9]

There have been several studies that have proved the procedure success and complication rate equivalency of ICE-directed LAAO with TEE-directed procedures, although with certain advantages of workflow.[8,9] These benefits are much further accentuated in concomitant procedures of AF ablation and LAAO, wherein ICE imaging integrates as the single imaging modality for both electrophysiologic as well as structural parts.[10]

During combined procedures, ICE supports fluoroless transseptal access, safe pulmonary vein isolation using radiofrequency or pulsed-field energy, and seamless transition to appendage assessment and closure. Continuous ICE imaging allows monitoring for ablation-related edema, thrombus formation, or pericardial effusion and confirms that device positioning is not compromised by prior ablation lesions.[10]

Safety, Radiation, and Resource Utilization

Continuous intracardiac visualization makes possible the early identification of potential complications such as pericardial effusion, thrombus formation, steam pops, or valvular damage from the catheter.[2,4] ICE also facilitates direct visualization of the interaction between catheters and tissue as well as the formation of microbubbles with implications for preventing overt complications and subclinical injury.[4]

ICE is an important enabler for zero or near-zero fluoroscopy EP procedural approaches.[1-3,5] This is especially important for pediatric and patients with congenital heart disease because the overall life-course cumulative radiation exposure is a significant issue.[11] While the initial cost for disposables is a significant issue, potential savings with respect to fluoroscopy time, anesthesia use, and avoided additional procedures may mitigate these effects.[4,6]

Ice Compared with other Imaging Modalities

Relative to TEE, ICE offers direct intracardiac views, does not involve esophageal instrumentation, does not cause esophageal injury, and usually does not require a general anesthetic and an echocardiographer.[2,4,8] Relative to fluoroscopy, the advantage of ICE lies in its ability to visualize soft tissues, which allow for visualization of structures such as the pulmonary veins, valves, interatrial septum, and adjacent structures that fluoroscopy cannot depict.[2,4]

New Directions in Emerging Technologies: 3D, 4D, and AI Integration

Three-dimensional and four-dimensional ICE systems are now beginning to offer real-time volumetric imaging of complex cardiac structures such as the left atrial appendage, the valvar structures, and congenital defects.[13,14] The integration of ICE anatomy with EAM systems can provide superior navigation, catheter stability, and lesional accuracy.[14]

Artificial intelligence and image processing could further revolutionize ICE-directed EP procedures by providing capabilities for automatic border detection, lesion analysis, and the instantaneous analysis for adverse image patterns.[14] Although initial experiences are encouraging, data for outcome and cost-effectiveness are still important.

limitations in Intracardiac Echocardiography

Notwithstanding its increased utilization, there are several limitations to ICE. While the cost of equipment as well as reimbursement patterns still pose difficulties as barriers to its utilization, particularly in settings with low volumes, there are limitations in terms of training, skill, and expertise in image acquisition as well as interpretation. Moreover, the current ICE catheter design poses difficulties to patients owing to its size, requiring large access via the veins. In addition, although there are limitations in the field of view as determined by ICE in terms of structures outside the heart in this methodology as opposed to TEE, it has the unique advantage of providing extraordinary near-field visualization within the heart. While there are sufficient data supporting its utilization in terms of ICE-based procedures in various meta-analyses, there are still little data in terms of rigorous clinical trials on all electrophysiology-related procedures.

Conclusion

Today, ICE is no longer an adjunctive modality for imaging but the imaging centerpiece for current electrophysiological practice.[1,3] It serves as the foundation for fluoroless ablation procedures, pulsed-field ablation contact evaluation, leadless pacing device implantation, lead extraction, and LAAO procedures, even those combined with ablations.[4-10] Through its capacity for immediate imaging and decreased radiation, as well as more efficient instrumentation and techniques, ICE has found an important role within current electrophysiological procedures. However, the future requires it to have more standardized training sessions, incorporate novel technologies, as well as emphasize the development of sound evidence for further growth.[6,11]

References

1. Razminia M, Willoughby MC, Demo H, et al. Fluoroless catheter ablation of cardiac arrhythmias: a 5-year experience. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2017;40:425-33.
2. Demo H, Aranda C, Razminia M, et al. Fluoroless left atrial access for radiofrequency and cryoballoon ablation of atrial fibrillation. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. 2022;63:423-31.
3. Razminia M, Zei P. Intracardiac Echocardiography: A Handbook for Electrophysiologists. Minneapolis (MN): Cardiotext Publishing; 2022.
4. Li X, Zhang Y, Chen M, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography: an invaluable tool in electrophysiology procedures. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2024;11:1357924.
5. Debreceni D, Kuck KH, Ouyang F, et al. Zero-fluoroscopy catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2023;10:1178783.
6. Preda A. The fluoroless future in electrophysiology: a state-of-the-art review. Diagnostics (Basel). 2024;14:412.
7. Regoli F, Caputo ML, Conte G, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography during transvenous lead extraction: procedural impact and safety. Europace. 2019;21:1064-71.
8. Alkhouli M, Hijazi ZM, Holmes DR Jr, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography–guided left atrial appendage occlusion. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2020;13:2779-90.
9. Berti S, Pastormerlo LE, Rezzaghi M, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography versus transesophageal echocardiography for left atrial appendage closure. EuroIntervention. 2021;16:1286-94.
10. Alkhouli M, Rihal CS. Concomitant atrial fibrillation ablation and left atrial appendage closure: rationale, technique, and outcomes. Heart Rhythm. 2022;19:1765-72.
11. Brugada J, Katritsis DG, Arbelo E, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography in pediatric and congenital cardiac electrophysiology. Europace. 2024;26:euae047.
12. Zhang Y, Li X, Chen M, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography improves lesion quality and procedural efficiency during pulmonary vein isolation. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2025;12:1612181.
13. Gutiérrez M, Wang Y, Silva J, et al. Intracardiac echocardiography: technology update and clinical applications. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2015;13:38.
14. Smith T, Patel N, Rogers T, et al. Expanding role of intracardiac echocardiography in congenital and structural interventions. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2025;18:1123-35.